

Bombay Suburban Art and Craft Education Society's SHEILA RAHEJA SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH ACTIVITY REPORT 2023-2024

Part A) Information about Organizing Team

| NAME OF T | HE AC | TIVITY: Field V i | isit to SF | TBI (St | art-up incubation cell) | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--|
| ACTIVITY DATE | DEPA | RTMENT | COMMITTI | ΕE | COORDINATOR NAME | |
| 26 th February 2024 | BMS | & MMS | IIC | | Mrs. Darshana Murkibhavi | |
| DURATION | VENU | E | PARTICIPA (Nos) | ANTS | NATURE: Outdoor/Indoor | |
| 2 hrs | SPTB | I – Andheri West | 23 student faculty me | | Outdoor | |
| FACULTY | | | | 1. | | |
| SUPPORT: | 2. | | | 3. | | |
| JUPPONT. | 4. | | | 5. | | |
| CTUDENT | A. | Mr. Yash Mistry | | B. Mr. Mayur Mohite | | |
| STUDENT SUPPORT: | C. | Mr. Praful Pawa | r | D. | | |
| SUPPORT: | E. | Mr. Prathamesh | Pisal | F. | | |

Part B) Brief Information about the Activity

| | - |
|-----------------------|---|
| TOPIC OF THE ACTIVITY | Field Visit to SPTBI (Start-up incubation cell) |
| OBJECTIVES | To gain insights into how the business incubation cell operates on a day-to-day basis by understanding their processes, services offered, and the overall ecosystem they provide for startups. |
| METHODOLOGY | Field visit to an incubation cell |
| OUTCOMES | Students learnt about the impact of the startup incubation cell on the entrepreneurial ecosystem, including various aspects such as job creation, revenue generation, and success rates of incubated startups. |

Part C) Proofs & Documents Attached (Tick mark the proofs attached):

| Tale of Floors of Bodalling | The Precedence (Flore High K circ proc | ois accaciled). |
|-----------------------------|---|------------------------|
| 1. Directions by Authority | 6. Activity Report | 11. Account Settlement |
| 2. Proposal Note | 7. Brief Profile Guest | 12. News Material |
| 3. Approval Letter | 8. Attendance Gender/Sem/Course | 13. Feed Back Report |
| 1. Notice & Schedule | 9. Certificate | 14. Any Other |
| 5. Organising Committee | 10. Geotag Photographs | |

Part D) Social Media:

| Web Site | | Twitter | | Instag | ram | Facebook | | |
|-----------|------------------|---------|------------------|--------|------------------|----------|------------------|--|
| Signature | Signature & Date | | Signature & Date | | Signature & Date | | Signature & Date | |
| DOS | DOP | DOS | DOP | DOS | DOP | DOS | DOP | |
| | | | | | | - | ~ | |

Part E) IQAC Cell:

| NAME & SIGNATURE | NAME & SIGNATURE | IQAC | IQAC COORDINATOR |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| OF COORDINATOR | OF DIRECTOR | Documentation | (SEAL & SIGNATURE) |
| Dr. Samadhan Khamkar. | Dr. Harish Kumar S. | Total No of | Dr. Rahul Sanghavi |
| Mrs. Darshana | Purohit | Pages 18 | O. A. Jack |
| Murkibhavi | / % | a) stu | R. B. Sangh X15 Vela |
| Py | 6 / 8 | · · | 13 |





DUIIIDAY SUDUI DAII AI L & GI AIL LUUCALION DOGLOG D

SHEILA RAHEJA SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH

Raheja Education Complex, Kher Nagar, Opp. Chhatrapati Shivaji Ground, Bandra (E), Mumbai – 400 051

SRBS/STAFF/2023-24/004

November 16, 2023

CONSTITUTION OF INSTITUTION INNOVATION COUNCIL (IIC)

As per the directives of the Ministry of Education in collaboration with the AICTE all Higher Education Institutions have to systematically encourage and support the start-up ecosystem and inculcate the culture of innovation among the students and the faculty.

In order to implement the same, SRBS had already constituted the Institution Innovation Council. This Council now stands reconstituted with the following members with immediate effect.

| Sr. | Faculty Representation | Faculty Name Appointed as IIC members | Signature |
|-----|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | President | Dr. Samadhan Khamkar | 4 |
| 2 | Convenor | Mrs. Darshana Murkibhavi | Daley " |
| 3 | Innovation activity coordinator | Mr. Pradeep Singh | And the second |
| 4 | Start-up activity coordinator | Mr. Pradeep Singh | M |
| 5 | Internship coordinator | Mrs. Darshana Murkibhavi | Dalas |
| 6 | IPR activity coordinator | Dr. Girish Pawar | Ba |
| 7 | Social Media coordinator | Mrs. Apeksha Gaje | ghi- |
| 8 | NIRF coordinator | Mrs. Roshal Vinu | Korhel |
| 9 | ARIIA coordinator | Mrs. Roshal Vinu | Kashal |
| 10 | Member | Dr. Prasad Supekar | Puste |

Dr. Harish Kumar S. Purohit Director







BOMBAY SUBURBAN ART & CRAFT EDUCATION SOCIETY'S

SHEILA RAHEJA SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH

(Approved by AICTE, DTE, Affiliated to University of Mumbai & NAAC accredited)





"FIELD VISIT TO INCUBATION CELL - SP-TBI"

26th Feb. 2024 10.00 AM ONWARDS VENUE:
SARDAR PATEL
TECHNOLOGY
BUSINESS
INCUBATOR







Field Visit to SPTBI (Start-up incubation cell)

Date: 2nd April 2024

Brief Description:

• Date of the event - 26th February, 2024

Time
 Number of Students that participated
 Number of Faculty Members that participated
 01

Mode of Conduct
 Offline

The Instituition Innovation Council of Sheila Raheja School of Business Manegement and Research organized a Field Visit to Sardar Patel Technology Business Incubator, a start up incubation cell. It offered a unique and insightful opportunity for students to gain inspiration to become accomplished entrepreneurs. The visit aimed to provide students with first-hand accounts of the challenges and triumphs faced by successful innovators in their entrepreneurial journeys.

Objectives

- To gain insights into how the business incubation cell operates on a day-to-day basis. This includes understanding their processes, services offered, and the overall ecosystem they provide for startups.
- To provide an opportunity to see firsthand the types of businesses being supported, their progress, challenges they face, and the support they receive from the incubation cell.
- To identify and learn from the best practices implemented by the business incubation cell which
 includes innovative approaches to mentoring, funding, networking, or providing resources and
 support to startups.
- To understand the impact of the incubation cell on the local ecosystem and the broader community.

Learning Outcomes

- Students gained a comprehensive understanding of the startup ecosystem, including the role of incubators in fostering entrepreneurship, providing support services to the start ups.
- Students learnt about the challenges faced by startups, such as funding constraints, market validation, product development and various other facets of an entrepreneurial venture.



For Sheila Raheja School of Business Management & Research


Page 1 of 3

- Students gained exposure to the various facilities and infrastructural support provided to the Start ups by the incubation cell.
- Students learnt about the impact of the startup incubation cell on the entrepreneurial ecosystem, including various aspects such as job creation, revenue generation, and success rates of incubated startups.









Ms. Darshana Murkibhavi Convener, IIC SRBS BANDRA (E)

Dr. Samadhan Khamkar President, IIC SRBS

Dr. Harish Kumar S. Purohit Director, SRBS

SHEILA RAHEJA SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH

Raheja Education Complex, Kher Nagar, Opp. Chhatrapati Shivaji Ground, Bandra (E), Mumbai – 400 051

ACADEMIC YEAR: 2023 - 2024

Field Visit to Incubation Cell – SP-TBI 26th February 2024

Venue: - SP - TBI Campus, Andheri - Mumbai

| Sr. No. | Name of the Student | Signature |
|---------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Yash Mistry | #istry |
| 2 | Louan Rodrigues | Lough |
| 3 | Isha Kahar Abwelek Yadau Abwinau - S | Schel |
| 4 | Abhistek Yadar | Am. |
| 5 | Abminau - S | Abrinan |
| 6 | Kshitij Kambb | Kshithis |
| 7 | Kshitij Kambb Akshata.s | SAMeta |
| 8 | Niklil | Willey- |
| 9 | Shravya | thraya |
| 10 | Pragali Tiwari | Bliwari |
| 11 | Pragali Tiwari Shravani falkur | Chranani. |
| 12 | Purva Nagare | Della. |
| 13 | Neha Nikam | Our. |
| 14 | Sahil Rane | R Sahi) |
| 15 | Shardul Nage | Mardy |
| 16 | Pavan marrya Proful Pawar | Kurphoo |
| 17 | Pavan makurya | Jave |
| 18 | Preated Paware. | There is |
| 19 | Sumit Youdaw | Sumityadi |
| 20 | RUCHI R. PAWAR | Rome |
| 21 | ROHIT PALANDE | F. |
| 22 | | Nishand |
| 23 | Nishant Jamba Prorthamesh Pisal | (Steel . |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | For Sheila Raheja School of Business Management & Research | |



SHEILA RAHEJA SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH

(Approved by AICTE, DTE, Affiliated to University of Mumbai and NAAC Accredited)

Student Feedback on Field Visit to SPTBI (Start-up incubation cell)

Name: Nishaut Jamba Program: MMS

Q1. How would you rate your overall experience during the field visit to the business incubation cell?) (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 3 4 5

Q2. How would you rate your understanding of entrepreneurship and business incubation after the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 3 4 5

Q5. How engaging and informative were the presentations and discussions at the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 3 4 5

Q4. What specific aspects of the business incubation cell did you find most interesting or valuable?

All the machinery that they provide for the growing businesses to support them was interesting.

Q**5**. Do you have any suggestions for improving future field visits to business incubation cells?

No suggesstions, overall experience was very informative.

BANDRA (E) BANDRA (E)



SHEILA RAHEJA SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH

(Approved by AICTE, DTE, Affiliated to University of Mumbai and NAAC Accredited)

Student Feedback on Field Visit to SPTBI (Start-up incubation cell)

| Name: | Sumit | Vasant | Jadan | Program: | MMS | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|--|---|
| Q1. How incubation | would you n cell?) (1 | rate your ove being very p | erall experien | nce during the field g excellent) | visit to the business | |
| 1 2 | 3 | 4 5 | | | | |
| Q2. How after the | would you visit? (1 bei | rate your und | derstanding o & 5 being ex | f entrepreneurship scellent) | and business incubation | |
| 1 2 | 3 | 4 5 | | | | |
| Q 5 . How being ver | engaging as | nd informativ being excelle | ve were the prent) | resentations and di | scussions at the visit? (1 | |
| 1 2 | 3 | 4 (5) | | | | |
| valuable? All # chesses up Cell | ne in cu ne b settled helps | bation dusically business them | etails pro from pro Tourna to grow | ovided via pie incubaction ey and he was en | find most interesting or presentations were n to fully grown ru incubation siching Session | 1 |
| Q 5 . Do yo cells? | ou have any | suggestions | for improving | g future field visits | to business incubation | |
| Live busine | interaction of the second | ution was | ith fu | dly grown would be | more helpful | |



For Sheila Raheja School of Business Management & Research



SHEILA RAHEJA SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH

(Approved by AICTE, DTE, Affiliated to University of Mumbai and NAAC Accredited)

Student Feedback on Field Visit to SPTBI (Start-up incubation cell)

Name: Pragati Tiwari Program: MMS

Q1. How would you rate your overall experience during the field visit to the business incubation cell?) (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 3 4 5

Q2. How would you rate your understanding of entrepreneurship and business incubation after the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 3 4 5

Q**5**. How engaging and informative were the presentations and discussions at the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 3 4 5

Q4. What specific aspects of the business incubation cell did you find most interesting or valuable?

There facilities, giving place as well machinesies for use at Very affordable rates was a valuable thing one can get of at a startup level.

Q**5**. Do you have any suggestions for improving future field visits to business incubation cells?

Show casing case studies & success Stories of businesses that have been done within incubation cell. Invite successful alumini or graduates.



For Sheila Raheja School of Business Management & Research



SHEILA RAHEJA SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH

(Approved by AICTE, DTE, Affiliated to University of Mumbai and NAAC Accredited)

Student Feedback on Field Visit to SPTBI (Start-up incubation cell)

| Name: Pohit S. Palande Program: MMS |
|---|
| Q1. How would you rate your overall experience during the field visit to the business incubation cell?) (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent) |
| 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Q2. How would you rate your understanding of entrepreneurship and business incubation after the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent) |
| 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Q3. How engaging and informative were the presentations and discussions at the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent) |
| 1 2 3 4 5 |
| Q4. What specific aspects of the business incubation cell did you find most interesting or valuable? Narious machines were there which were really helpful for the businesses for Startup. |

Q5. Do you have any suggestions for improving future field visits to business incubation

Cells?
Practical Demonstration must be done to know how actually the machines work.





SHEILA RAHEJA SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH

(Approved by AICTE, DTE, Affiliated to University of Mumbai and NAAC Accredited)

Student Feedback on Field Visit to SPTBI (Start-up incubation cell)

| Name: 5ahil | Ranc | Program: M | M5 |
|-------------|------|------------|-----|
| | | 9 11/ | 1) |

Q1. How would you rate your overall experience during the field visit to the business incubation cell?) (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

| 1 2 3 4 | 5 | 2 | 1 |
|---------|---|---|---|
|---------|---|---|---|

Q2. How would you rate your understanding of entrepreneurship and business incubation after the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 3 4

Q**5**. How engaging and informative were the presentations and discussions at the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 3 4 (5)

Q4. What specific aspects of the business incubation cell did you find most interesting or valuable?

There were various machined placed in the incybation cell which will be useful for us as entrepreneurs. The Presentations and explanation of the machines was excellent.

Q**5** Do you have any suggestions for improving future field visits to business incubation cells?

They should give more pratical Knowledger rather than Just explaining the information of various muchines.



For Sheila Raheja School of Business Management & Research



SHEILA RAHEJA SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH

(Approved by AICTE, DTE, Affiliated to University of Mumbai and NAAC Accredited)

Student Feedback on Field Visit to SPTBI (Start-up incubation cell)

Name: Sharded Nage Program: MMS

Q1. How would you rate your overall experience during the field visit to the business incubation cell?) (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 3 (4) 5

Q2. How would you rate your understanding of entrepreneurship and business incubation after the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 3 4 5

Q**5.** How engaging and informative were the presentations and discussions at the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 (3) 4

Q4. What specific aspects of the business incubation cell did you find most interesting or valuable?

They were various machinery but I like 3-0 model machine

Q5 Do you have any suggestions for improving future field visits to business incubation cells?

They should more pratical demonstration than theory giving just information of the product.

BANDRA (E) BANDRA (E)

For Sheila Raheja School of Business Management & Research



SHEILA RAHEJA SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH

(Approved by AICTE, DTE, Affiliated to University of Mumbai and NAAC Accredited)

Student Feedback on Field Visit to SPTBI (Start-up incubation cell)

| Name | :Ru | SHI | R. | PAWAR | | Program: | M | MS | | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|------------|----|
| Q1. H | ow wou ntion cel | ld you 1?) (1 | rate you being v | ır overall exp ery poor & 5 | perience du 5 being exc | uring the fiel cellent) | ld visit | to the | business | | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| Q2. H | ow wou he visit? | ld you (1 bei | rate you | r understand poor & 5 be | ling of enti | repreneurshi | p and l | busines | s incuba | tion | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| Q 3 . H being | ow enga | iging a | nd informulation | mative were cellent) | the presen | tations and o | discuss | sions at | the visit | ?(1 | |
| 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | | | | | | | |
| valuab | le? | w nes | ere i | | | n cell did you hines | | | | | th |
| cells? | | | | | | ure field visi | | | | | |
| M | tr | ink | - Pr Pful | roctica For | l des under | nonte standir | g | on | oudi | uld bence. |)e |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

BANDRA (F)

For Sheila Raheja School of Business Management & Research



SHEILA RAHEJA SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH

(Approved by AICTE, DTE, Affiliated to University of Mumbai and NAAC Accredited)

Student Feedback on Field Visit to SPTBI (Start-up incubation cell)

| - | | | | |
|---|---|---|----|--|
| N | 2 | m | 0. | |

Program:

Q1. How would you rate your overall experience during the field visit to the business incubation cell?) (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 3 4 5

Q2. How would you rate your understanding of entrepreneurship and business incubation after the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

2 3 4 5

Q5. How engaging and informative were the presentations and discussions at the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 3 4 5

Q4. What specific aspects of the business incubation cell did you find most interesting or valuable?

That they are helping emerging Start up founders to make their idea in reality

Q5 Do you have any suggestions for improving future field visits to business incubation cells?

They should have to creat e a studio setup for People who wont create content or who want create content marketing agency.



For Sheila Raheja School of Business Management & Research

SHEILA RAHEJA SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH

(Approved by AICTE, DTE, Affiliated to University of Mumbai and NAAC Accredited)

Student Feedback on Field Visit to SPTBI (Start-up incubation cell)

| Name: | Program: | | |
|--|---|-----|--|
| Q1. How would incubation cell | d you rate your overall experience during the field visit to the business?) (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent) | | |
| 1 2 | 3 4 5 | | |
| Q2. How woul after the visit? | d you rate your understanding of entrepreneurship and business incubation (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent) | | |
| 1 2 | 3 4 (5) | | |
| Q 5 . How engage being very poo | ging and informative were the presentations and discussions at the visit? (1 & 5 being excellent) | | |
| 1 2 | 3 4 5 | | |
| valuable? | fic aspects of the business incubation cell did you find most interesting or | | |
| - Incuberte te leo | ors gives new businesses a protected Spar | rce | |
| - Providin | y required services for new start-ups | | |
| | e any suggestions for improving future field visits to business incubation | | |
| - Mentos | mip programs for conlege Students. | | |
| | | | |



For Sheila Raheja School of Business Management & Research



SHEILA RAHEJA SCHOOL OF BUSINESS MANAGEMENT AND RESEARCH

(Approved by AICTE, DTE, Affiliated to University of Mumbai and NAAC Accredited)

Student Feedback on Field Visit to SPTBI (Start-up incubation cell)

Name: Nagove Purva. Program: FYMMS

Q1. How would you rate your overall experience during the field visit to the business incubation cell?) (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 3 4 5

Q2. How would you rate your understanding of entrepreneurship and business incubation after the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 3 4 5

Q**5**. How engaging and informative were the presentations and discussions at the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)

1 2 3 4 (5)

Q4. What specific aspects of the business incubation cell did you find most interesting or valuable?

The Valuable aspect was the supposet provided by the center for decision making or as an assistance for new business

Q5. Do you have any suggestions for improving future field visits to business incubation cells?


The incubation cell should have given more information about different businesses which were benefited & a practical experience about the same.

BANDRA (E) BANDRA (E)

For Sheila Raheja School of Business Wanagement & Research

Student Feedback on Field Visit to SPTBI (Start-up incubation cell)


Q1. How would you rate your overall experience during the field visit to the business incubation cell?) (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)




For Sheila Raheja School of Business Management & Research

BANDRA (E)

Q2. How would you rate your understanding of entrepreneurship and business incubation after the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)



Q3. How engaging and informative were the presentations and discussions at the visit? (1 being very poor & 5 being excellent)



For Sheila Raheja School of Business Management & Research

BANDRA (E) BE MUMBAI - BANDRA (E)

Page 2 of 2